## Merrekentrones A–D, Ipomeamarone-like Furanosesquiterpenes from *Merremia kentrocaulos*<sup>1</sup>

Kristina Jenett-Siems,\*,† Karsten Siems,‡ Ludger Witte,§ and Eckart Eich†

Institut für Pharmazie (Pharmazeutische Biologie), Freie Universität Berlin, Königin-Luise-Strasse 2-4, D-14195 Berlin, Germany, AnalytiCon Discovery GmbH, Hermannswerder Haus 17, D-14437 Potsdam, Germany, and Institut für Pharmazeutische Biologie, Technische Universität Braunschweig, Mendelssohnstrasse 1, D-38106 Braunschweig, Germany

Received May 11, 2001

Four new furanosesquiterpenes, merrekentrones A (1), B (2), C (3), and D (4), were isolated from the roots and rootstocks of *Merremia kentrocaulos*. Their structures were elucidated on the basis of spectroscopic data interpretation. In contrast to ipomeamarone (5), the well-known phytoalexin of *Ipomoea batatas*, 1-4 seem to be normal plant constituents. Merrekentrone A (1) was also detected in the roots of *M. guerrichii* and *M. aurea*.

The Convolvulaceae, a large family with about 2000 species distributed mostly in tropical and subtropical parts of the world, is known to possess a wide variety of alkaloids, e.g., ergolines and tropanes.<sup>2–4</sup> Kaurane derivatives have been obtained from *Operculina aurea* (Kellogg) House.<sup>5</sup> Some species contain pentacyclic triterpenes, <sup>6–8</sup> and the isolation of dammarane type triterpenes from *Argyreia capitata* (Vahl) Choisy has been reported.<sup>9</sup> Furanosesquiterpenes such as ipomeamarone (**5**) have been isolated as phytoalexins produced by tubers of sweet potato (*Ipomoea batatas* (L.) Lam.) infected by pathogenic fungi.<sup>10</sup>

During our ongoing research on secondary metabolites from the Convolvulaceae, we investigated *Merremia kentrocaulos* (C.B. Clarke) Rendle, a large woody climber forming a tuberous rootstock occurring in tropical Africa and India. From the roots and rootstocks of this species grown in a greenhouse we isolated four novel sesquiterpenes named merrekentrones A (1), B (2), C (3), and D (4). The current report describes the isolation and structure elucidation of these natural compounds.



 $\ast$  To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel: +49-30-83853720. E-mail: kjsiems@zedat.fu-berlin.de.

<sup>†</sup> Institut für Pharmazie.

<sup>‡</sup> AnalytiCon Discovery.

§ Institut für Pharmazeutische Biologie.

10.1021/np010233j CCC: \$20.00

The dried roots and rootstocks of *M. kentrocaulos* were extracted with MeOH at room temperature. The crude MeOH extract was partitioned between  $H_2O$  and  $CH_2Cl_2$ . The oily residue of the organic layer was further fractionated by a combination of silica gel column chromatography and preparative HPLC to give sesquiterpenes 1-4.

Merrekentrones A and B (1, 2) showed analogous UV spectra with characteristic absorption bands at  $\lambda_{max}$  235, 300, and 370 nm, indicating that the compounds possessed extended conjugated  $\pi$  electron systems. The EIMS of **1** exhibited a molecular ion peak at m/z 242, corresponding to a molecular formula of C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>14</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (HREIMS). The <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum showed characteristic signals for a  $\beta$ -substituted furan ring at  $\delta$  142.5, 109.2, 120.6, and 147.3. From the <sup>1</sup>H–<sup>13</sup>C COSY NMR spectrum the corresponding proton signals at  $\delta$  7.44, 7.19, and 8.97 (1 H, br s, each) could be assigned, revealing an unusual downfield shift of H-2 and H-13.<sup>11</sup> Regarding the molecular formula, besides the furan ring, the structure had to contain two more rings, three double bonds, and one keto group. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum showed two methyl groups ( $\delta$  1.51, 6 H, s) attached to the same carbon, which was pointed out by the correlation to each other in the HMBC spectrum. A further correlation could be observed to a signal at  $\delta$  79.8 (C-11), which also was correlated to an olefinic proton at  $\delta$  5.53 (H-10). Another olefinic signal at  $\delta$  6.98 (H-8) showed a long-range coupling to a ketone at  $\delta$  190.4 (C-6), whereas the olefinic methyl group at  $\delta$  1.98 exhibited cross-peaks to C-6 and C-8. Thus, a substructure containing an  $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -unsaturated ketone substituted with a methyl group in  $\alpha$ -position could be established. The fact that H-8 coupled long-range with three further olefinic carbons at  $\delta$  104.6 (C-5), 146.8 (C-9), and 118.0 (C-10), respectively, revealed a conjugation to two double bonds and thus a branching next to this proton. The <sup>13</sup>C NMR chemical shifts of the tetrasubstituted double bond between C-4 and C-5 at  $\delta$  178.6 and 104.6, respectively, are characteristic for an enolether, establishing the structure of 1. The downfield chemical shift of the furan protons (H-2, H-13) may be due to the anisotropic effect of the C-6 carbonyl group.

A molecular ion peak at m/z 258 in the EIMS of **2** corresponded to a molecular formula of C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>14</sub>O<sub>4</sub>. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR data were quite similar to those of **1** with the exception of the methyl groups. Instead of the olefinic methyl group, an oxygen-substituted methylene group was observed, which corresponded to a carbon at  $\delta$  58.7 in the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum.

.00 © 2001 American Chemical Society and American Society of Pharmacognosy Published on Web 11/01/2001

The UV spectrum of 3 differed significantly from those of **1** and **2** and showed an absorption maximum at  $\lambda_{max}$ 298 nm. The EIMS showed a molecular ion peak at m/z260, corresponding to a molecular formula of  $C_{15}H_{16}O_4$ (HREIMS). The <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum again displayed signals for a  $\beta$ -substituted furan ring at  $\delta$  143.4 (C-1 and C-13), 116.6 (C-3), and 107.5 (C-2). Furthermore, two isolated olefinic protons, one methylene group, and three methyl groups were observed in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum. In contrast to 1, not just one but two of the methyl groups were shifted downfield and thus were attached to a double bond. The methylene protons displayed an AB system at  $\delta$  2.97 (d, J = 15.0 Hz) and 2.94 (d, J = 15.0 Hz). The <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum showed additional signals for a tetrasubstituted carbon at  $\delta$  86.9 (C-7) and two carbonyl signals at  $\delta$  194.0 (C-6) and 204.5 (C-9). The <sup>13</sup>C NMR data of C-5 and C-4 at  $\delta$  98.8 and 177.5, respectively, again indicated an enolether moiety. Thus, the chemical structure of 3 was determined as shown.

Compound 4 displayed a molecular ion peak at m/z246, corresponding to a molecular formula of  $C_{15}H_{18}O_3$ (HREIMS). Its UV spectrum showed an absorption maximum at  $\lambda_{max}$  293 nm. The <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum revealed again the occurrence of a  $\beta$ -substituted furan ring. Furthermore, two keto groups, one tetra-substituted double bond, two methylene groups, two methine groups, and three methyl groups were observed. Two of the methyl groups, displaying doublets at  $\delta$  0.90 and 0.92 (J = 6.5 Hz, each), had to be attached to the same carbon, which was supported by the correlation to each other in the HMBC spectrum. The methine proton at C-11 was further coupled to a methylene group at  $\delta$  2.46 (H-10) which showed longrange correlations to a further methylene group at  $\delta$  39.4 (C-8) and to the two carbon atoms of the tetrasubstituted double bond at  $\delta$  141.1 (C-5) and 181.5 (C-9), respectively. Therefore, there had to be a branching between the two methylene groups. The methylene protons of C-8 coupled to a methine proton at  $\delta$  2.56 (H-7), which was further coupled to another methyl group (H-14). This methyl group showed HMBC correlations to the carbonyl group at  $\delta$  207.6 (C-6). These data allowed us to establish the structure of merrekentrone D (4) as shown. The fact that the potentially chiral compounds 3 and 4 displayed an optical rotation of 0° might be explained by enolization in the case of **4** or by attack of the hydroxyl function from different sides to C-7 in the precursor of 3, thus leading to racemic substances.

The merrekentrones are structurally related to ipomeamarone (5). However, ipomeamarone and its derivatives known from *I. batatas* could not be detected in *M. kentrocaulos*. Instead, **4** can be regarded as 6-oxomyomontanone, a derivative of (+)-myomontanone, a hepatotoxic sesquiterpene isolated from *Myoporum montanum* R. Br. (Myoporaceae).<sup>12</sup> This is the first time that ipomeamaronelike sesquiterpenes could be detected and isolated beyond *I. batatas* in the Convolvulaceae. As the merrekentrones were obtained from healthy plants, there is no indication that they are phytoalexins as ipomeamarone and its derivatives in *I. batatas*.

Merrekentrone A (1) was also detected by HPLC in roots of the herb *M. guerrichii* Meeuse from Namibia and by GC-MS in roots of *M. aurea* (Kell.) O'Donell, an endemic plant from Baja California. However, merrekentrones are absent in the large Middle American climber *M. tuberosa* (L.) Rendle, as well as in the herbaceous climbers *M.* 

*gemella* (Burm. f.) Hallier f. ssp. *gemella* and *M. vitifolia* (Burm. f.) Hallier f. occurring in Southeast Asia.

## **Experimental Section**

General Experimental Procedures. <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C, <sup>1</sup>H<sup>-1</sup>H COSY, and <sup>1</sup>H<sup>-13</sup>C COSY spectra were run in CDCl<sub>3</sub> solution on a Bruker AVANCE DPX 400 (400 MHz, TMS as internal standard). HMBC spectra were obtained on a Bruker DRX 500 MHz spectrometer. EIMS were recorded on a Finnigan MAT CH7A (70 eV); HRMS, on a Finnigan MAT 711 (80 eV). Optical rotations were measured with a Perkin-Elmer 241 MC. UV and IR spectra were obtained on a Shimadzu UV-160A and a Perkin-Elmer 1420 spectrophotometer, respectively. Preparative column chromatography was performed on silica gel 60 (70–230 mesh, Merck). Preparative high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) separation was performed on a Knauer pumping system with a Knauer variable-wavelength detector (225 nm) equipped with a Knauer Nucleosil 300 C-18 column (10  $\mu$ m, 22  $\times$  250 mm).

**Plant Material.** The seeds of *M. kentrocaulos* were collected at the road Masvingo-Mutare in Zimbabwe. The plants were grown in a greenhouse at the Institut für Pharmazie, Berlin, and a herbarium specimen (JS 21) is deposited there.

Extraction and Isolation. The dried roots and rootstocks (400 g) were ground and extracted four times with 1 L MeOH for 4 h at room temperature. The MeOH extract was concentrated under reduced pressure. The viscous concentrate was suspended in 800 mL of 2% aqueous tartaric acid and extracted three times with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (800 mL each). Column chromatography of the CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> extract (4.6 g) on silica gel and elution with a stepwise gradient of cyclohexane-EtOAc (9:1, 8:2, 7:3, 1:1, 3:7) and finally with EtOAc alone (300 mL each) gave 10 (I-X) fractions. Fraction I, eluting with 9:1 cyclohexane-EtOAc, contained pure 1 (40 mg). Fraction II, eluting with 8:2 cyclohexane-EtOAc, was subjected to preparative HPLC (H<sub>2</sub>O–MeOH, 50:50  $\rightarrow$  20:80 in 1 h) to afford 4 (5 mg). Fraction V, eluting with 7:3 cyclohexane-EtOAc, was further separated by preparative HPLC with H<sub>2</sub>O–MeOH mixtures (55:45  $\rightarrow$ 20:80 in 1 h) to give 2 (20 mg) and 3 (4 mg).

**Merrekentrone A (1):** oil; UV  $\lambda_{max}$  (log  $\epsilon$ ) 235 (3.9), 300 (3.6), 370 (3.3) nm; IR (KBr)  $\nu_{max}$  3131, 2976, 2929, 2855, 1696, 1621, 1562, 1537, 1503, 1159, 872 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  8.97 (1H, brs, H-13), 7.44 (1H, brs, H-1), 7.19 (1H, brs, H-2), 6.98 (1H, s, H-8), 5.53 (1H, s, H-10), 1.98 (3H, s, H-14), 1.51 (6H, s, H-12, H-15); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz)  $\delta$  190.4 (s, C-6), 178.6 (s, C-4), 147.3 (d, C-13), 146.8 (s, C-9), 142.5 (d, C-1), 136.3 (d, C-8), 132.2 (s, C-7), 120.6 (s, C-3), 118.0 (d, C-10), 109.2 (d, C-2), 104.6 (s, C-5), 79.8 (s, C-11), 29.2 (q, C-12, C-15), 11.3 (q, C-14); EIMS *m*/*z* 242 [M<sup>+</sup>] (32), 227 (100), 213 (4), 199 (11), 95 (15); HREIMS *m*/*z* 242.0942 (calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>14</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, 242.0943).

**Merrekentrone B (2):** oil; UV  $\lambda_{max}$  (log  $\epsilon$ ) 235 (3.9), 301 (3.6), 370 (3.3) nm; IR (film)  $\nu_{max}$  3427, 3157, 2976, 2928, 1677, 1654, 1589, 1505, 1156, 874 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  8.95 (1H, brs, H-13), 7.45 (1H, brs, H-1), 7.17 (1H, brs, H-2), 7.10 (1H, s, H-8), 5.67 (1H, s, H-10), 4.57 (2H, s, H-14), 1.53 (6 H, s, H-12, H-15); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz)  $\delta$  200.7 (s, C-6), 189.9 (s, C-4), 147.5 (d, C-13), 146.8 (s, C-9), 142.7 (d, C-1), 135.4 (d, C-8), 132.0 (s, C-7), 120.5 (s, C-3), 120.4 (d, C-10), 109.0 (d, C-2), 104.8 (s, C-5), 80.3 (s, C-11), 58.7 (t, C-14), 28.9 (q, C-12, C-15); EIMS *m*/*z* 258 [M<sup>+</sup>] (58), 243 (84), 215 (42), 95 (66); HREIMS *m*/*z* 258.0869 (calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>14</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, 258.0892).

**Merrekentrone C (3):** oil;  $[\alpha]^{20}{}_{D} 0^{\circ}$  (*c* 0.2, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); UV  $\lambda_{max}$  (log  $\epsilon$ ) 298 (3.5) nm; IR (KBr)  $\nu_{max}$  2978, 2933, 1708, 1650, 1590, 1505, 1158, 873 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  7.97 (1H, brs, H-13), 7.50 (1H, brs, H-1), 6.67 (1H, brs, H-2), 6.03 (1H, s, H-10), 5.77 (1H, s, H-5), 2.97 (1H, d, J = 15.0 Hz, H-8a), 2.94 (1H, d, J = 15.0 Hz, H-8b), 2.07 (3H, s, H-15), 1.86 (3H, s, H-12), 1.47 (3H, s, H-14); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz)  $\delta$  204.5 (s, C-9), 194.0 (s, C-6), 177.5 (s, C-4), 156.0 (s, C-11), 143.4 (d, C-1, C-13), 122.6 (d, C-10), 116.6 (s, C-3), 107.5 (d, C-2), 98.8

(d, C-5), 86.9 (s, C-7), 48.6 (t, C-8), 26.7 (q, C-12), 21.5 (q, C-14), 19.9 (q, C-15); EIMS *m*/*z* 260 [M<sup>+</sup>] (10), 164 (40), 83 (100); HREIMS *m*/*z* 260.1053 (calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>16</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, 260.1049).

**Merrekentrone D (4):** yellow solid;  $[\alpha]^{20} D 0^{\circ} (c 0.1, CHCl_3);$ UV  $\lambda_{max}$  (log  $\epsilon$ ) 293 (4.2) nm; IR (KBr)  $\nu_{max}$  3131, 2958, 2928, 2870, 1702, 1649, 1626, 1510, 1154, 872 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz) & 7.86 (1H, brs, H-13), 7.42 (1H, brs, H-1), 6.78 (1H, brs, H-2), 2.95 (1H, dd, J = 19.0, 7.0 Hz, H-8a), 2.56 (1H, m, H-7), 2.46 (1H, d, J = 6.5 Hz, H-10), 2.30 (1H, dd, J = 19.0, 2.5 Hz, H-8b), 1.98 (1H, m, H-11), 1.26 (3H, d, J = 7.5 Hz, H-14), 0.92 (3H, d, J = 6.5 Hz, H-15), 0.90 (3H, d, J = 6.5 Hz, H-12); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz) & 207.6 (s, C-6), 186.4 (s, C-4), 181.5 (s, C-9), 149.8 (d, C-13), 143.9 (d, C-1), 141.1 (s, C-5), 127.9 (s, C-3), 108.9 (d, C-2), 40.9 (d, C-10), 40.7 (d, C-7), 39.4 (t, C-8), 27.6 (d, C-11), 22.7 (q, C-12), 22.6 (q, C-15), 16.6 (q, C-14); EIMS m/z 246 [M<sup>+</sup>] (48), 231 (25), 203 (22), 175 (53), 163 (41), 147 (25), 95 (100); HREIMS m/z 246.1266 (calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>18</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, 246.1256).

Acknowledgment. The authors are indebted to Dr. Gerhard Holzmann (Institut für Organische Chemie der FU Berlin) for recording HREIMS spectra and to Mrs. Margrit Meyer for technical assistance.

## **References and Notes**

- (1) Part 13 in the series Phytochemistry and Chemotaxonomy of the Convolvulaceae. For part 12 see: Schimming, T.; Jenett-Siems, K.; Siems, K.; Witte, L.; Gupta, M. P.; Eich, E. *Z. Naturforsch.* **2000**, 55c, 1023-1025.
- Stauffacher, D.; Tscherter, H.; Hofmann, A. Helv. Chim. Acta 1965, (2)48, 1379-1380.
- (3) Orechoff, A.; Konowalowa, R. Arch. Pharm. (Weinheim) 1933, 271, 145 - 148.(4) Schimming, T.; Tofern, B.; Mann, P.; Richter, A.; Jenett-Siems, K.;
- Dräger, B.; Asano, N.; Gupta, M. P.; Correa, M. D.; Eich, E. Phytochemistry **1998**, *49*, 1989–1995.
- (5) Canonica, L.; Pelizzoni, F.; Ferrari, G.; Vecchietti, V. Gazz. Chim. (6) Gunatilake, A. A. L.; Sultanbawa, M. U. S. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1973, 1155–1157.
- (7) Bhatt, S. K.; Saxena, V. K.; Singh, K. V. Indian J. Phar. Sci. 1981,
- 43, 109-110. Seif El-Nasr, M. M.; El-Missiry, M. M.; Soliman, M. A. Fitoterapia (8)**1984**, 55, 254.
- (9) Tofern, B.; Jenett-Siems, K.; Siems, K.; Jakupovic, J.; Eich, E. Z. Naturforsch. 1999, 54c, 1005–1010.
- (10) Kato, N.; Imaseki, H.; Nakashima, N.; Uritani, I. Tetrahedron Lett. **1971**, *13*, 843-846.
- (11) Addae-Mensah, I.; Achenbach, H.; Thoithi, G. N.; Waibel, R.; Mwangi, J. W. Phytochemistry 1992, 31, 2055–2058.
- (12) Metra, P. L.; Sutherland, M. D. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 24, 1749-1752. NP010233J